preferred candidates of choice denies or abridges the right to vote within the meaning of section 5. 42 U.S.C. 1973c.

[Order No. 3262-2011, 76 FR 21248, Apr. 15, 2011]

§ 51.55 Consistency with constitutional and statutory requirements.

- (a) Consideration in general. In making a determination under section 5, the Attorney General will consider whether the change neither has the purpose nor will have the effect of denying or abridging the right to vote on account of race, color, or membership in a language minority group in light of, and with particular attention being given to, the requirements of the 14th, 15th, and 24th Amendments to the Constitution, 42 U.S.C. 1971(a) and (b), sections 2, 4(a), 4(f)(2), 4(f)(4), 201, 203(c), and 208 of the Act, and other constitutional and statutory provisions designed to safeguard the right to vote from denial or abridgment on account of race, color, or membership in a language minority group.
- (b) Section 2. Preclearance under section 5 of a voting change will not preclude any legal action under section 2 by the Attorney General if implementation of the change demonstrates that such action is appropriate.

 $[52\ {\rm FR}\ 490,\ {\rm Jan.}\ 6,\ 1987,\ {\rm as}\ {\rm amended}\ {\rm at}\ 63\ {\rm FR}\ 24109,\ {\rm May}\ 1,\ 1998;\ {\rm Order}\ {\rm No.}\ 3262–2011,\ 76\ {\rm FR}\ 21249,\ {\rm Apr.}\ 15,\ 2011]$

§51.56 Guidance from the courts.

In making determinations the Attorney General will be guided by the relevant decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States and of other Federal courts.

$\S 51.57$ Relevant factors.

Among the factors the Attorney General will consider in making determinations with respect to the submitted changes affecting voting are the following:

- (a) The extent to which a reasonable and legitimate justification for the change exists:
- (b) The extent to which the jurisdiction followed objective guidelines and fair and conventional procedures in adopting the change;

- (c) The extent to which the jurisdiction afforded members of racial and language minority groups an opportunity to participate in the decision to make the change;
- (d) The extent to which the jurisdiction took the concerns of members of racial and language minority groups into account in making the change;
- (e) The factors set forth in Village of Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Development Corp., 429 U.S. 252 (1977):
- (1) Whether the impact of the official action bears more heavily on one race than another;
- (2) The historical background of the decision;
- (3) The specific sequence of events leading up to the decision;
- (4) Whether there are departures from the normal procedural sequence;
- (5) Whether there are substantive departures from the normal factors considered; and
- (6) The legislative or administrative history, including contemporaneous statements made by the decision makers.

[Order No. 3262-2011, 76 FR 21249, Apr. 15, 2011]

§51.58 Representation.

- (a) Introduction. This section and the sections that follow set forth factors—in addition to those set forth above—that the Attorney General considers in reviewing redistrictings (see §51.59), changes in electoral systems (see §51.60), and annexations (see §51.61).
- (b) Background factors. In making determinations with respect to these changes involving voting practices and procedures, the Attorney General will consider as important background information the following factors:
- (1) The extent to which minorities have been denied an equal opportunity to participate meaningfully in the political process in the jurisdiction.
- (2) The extent to which voting in the jurisdiction is racially polarized and election-related activities are racially segregated.
- (3) The extent to which the voter registration and election participation of

§51.59

minority voters have been adversely affected by present or past discrimination.

[52 FR 490, Jan. 6, 1987, as amended by Order No. 3262–2011, 76 FR 21249, Apr. 15, 2011]

§51.59 Redistricting plans.

- (a) Relevant factors. In determining whether a submitted redistricting plan has a prohibited purpose or effect the Attorney General, in addition to the factors described above, will consider the following factors (among others):
- (1) The extent to which malapportioned districts deny or abridge the right to vote of minority citizens:
- (2) The extent to which minority voting strength is reduced by the proposed redistricting:
- (3) The extent to which minority concentrations are fragmented among different districts:
- (4) The extent to which minorities are over concentrated in one or more districts:
- (5) The extent to which available alternative plans satisfying the jurisdiction's legitimate governmental interests were considered:
- (6) The extent to which the plan departs from objective redistricting criteria set by the submitting jurisdiction, ignores other relevant factors such as compactness and contiguity, or displays a configuration that inexplicably disregards available natural or artificial boundaries; and
- (7) The extent to which the plan is inconsistent with the jurisdiction's stated redistricting standards.
- (b) Discriminatory purpose. A jurisdiction's failure to adopt the maximum possible number of majority-minority districts may not be the sole basis for determining that a jurisdiction was motivated by a discriminatory purpose.

[Order No. 3262–2011, 76 FR 21249, Apr. 15, 2011]

§ 51.60 Changes in electoral systems.

In making determinations with respect to changes in electoral systems (e.g., changes to or from the use of atlarge elections, changes in the size of elected bodies) the Attorney General, in addition to the factors described

above, will consider the following factors (among others):

- (a) The extent to which minority voting strength is reduced by the proposed change.
- (b) The extent to which minority concentrations are submerged into larger electoral units.
- (c) The extent to which available alternative systems satisfying the jurisdiction's legitimate governmental interests were considered.

§51.61 Annexations.

- Coverage. Annexations (a) deannexations, even of uninhabited land, are subject to section 5 preclearance to the extent that they alter or are calculated to alter the composition of a jurisdiction's electorate. See, e.g., City of Pleasant Grove v. United States, 479 U.S. 462 (1987). In analyzing annexations deannexations under section 5, the Attorney General considers the purpose and effect of the annexations and deannexations only as they pertain to
- (b) Section 5 review. It is the practice of the Attorney General to review all of a jurisdiction's unprecleared annexations and deannexations together. See City of Pleasant Grove v. United States, C.A. No. 80–2589 (D.D.C. Oct. 7, 1981).
- (c) Relevant factors. In making determinations with respect to annexations, the Attorney General, in addition to the factors described above, will consider the following factors (among others):
- (1) The extent to which a jurisdiction's annexations reflect the purpose or have the effect of excluding minorities while including other similarly situated persons.
- (2) The extent to which the annexations reduce a jurisdiction's minority population percentage, either at the time of the submission or, in view of the intended use, for the reasonably foreseeable future.
- (3) Whether the electoral system to be used in the jurisdiction fails fairly to reflect minority voting strength as